Single-cell DNA sequencing reveals high incidence of numerical and structural abnormalities in human blastocysts

Effrosyni A. Chavli, MSc Clinical Embryologist & PhD candidate Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics & Department of Clinical Genetics



07-05-2024



Origin of chromosomal abnormalities

Normal embryo

Diploid aneuploid mosaic embryo



Chromosomal abnormalities in human embryos



Bulk DNA sequencing and technical limitations





Single cell sequencing on human blastocysts

Chromosomal content of the cells of good quality blastocysts

- Mitotic vs meiotic vs meiotic
 Type of abnormalities: numerical vs structural
 Type of abnormalities: (partial) gain vs (partial) loss , vs (partial) loss , in the structural vs (partial) loss , in
- Distribution of abnormal cells within ICM & TE and timing of mitotic error
- Mechanisms leading to mosaicism

Erasmus MC

Validation - technique: Single cell DNA sequencing (scKaryoseq)

- Detects numerical and structural abnormalities in "flow sorted" cells
- Flow cytometry is not feasible for human blastocysts (~50 cells per embryo)
- Validation on "manually plated" fetal cells from chorionic villi or amniotic fluid with known abnormalities (numerical + structural)



Chavli et al., J Clin Invest. 2024 Jan 4;134(6):e174483

Experimental design



Good quality IVF morulas donated for research

ICM biopsy of blastocysts with at least a 3BB morphology score

Dissagregation into single cells (accutase)

 Single cell whole genome Sequencing (scKaryo-seq)



scKaryo-seq on human blastocysts



Chromosomal constitution of blastocysts



Distribution of abnormal cells in ICM and TE



Timing of mitotic error



Type of abnormalities in the single cells





- High incidence of structural abnormalities
- Structural abnormalities present in 69% of analysed embryos

- In prenatal diagnostics chr. loss is rare
- "Selective pressure" for embryos and cells with chr. loss not fully active yet?



Observations: Reciprocal gain + loss



Observation: Numerical & structural loss of the same chr.

Embryo 3



Janssen et al, Science 2011:p1895; Van opstal et al, Prenat Diagn 2019:p1016; Zuffardi et al Eur J Med Genet 2022:p1054

Erasmus MC

zalus

Underestimation of TE mosaicism with bulk DNA seq.

In silico reanalysis of single cell data from embryos with mosaic TE

% of TE cells per embryo with the same mitotic abnormality



Conclusions *in-silico* analysis

Most blastocysts show chromosomal mosaicism

 Most mitotic abnormalities are present in less than 20% of the TEcells/embryo

These embryos most probably will develop further normally



Possible implications for clinical practise

Clinical outcome of mosaic/ normal embryos uncertain



Conclusions

- ✓ No preferential allocation of abnormal cells towards ICM or TE (exception complex abnormal cells)
- ✓ Mitotic errors possibly occur also after TE/ICM differentiation
- Insights into type of abnormalities and possible mechanisms involved Structural = numerical Chromosome loss > gain
- Most blastocysts showed chromosomal mosaicism
 Possible explanation of unexpected clinical outcomes after the transfer of PGT-A tested embryos

Erasmus Mo

Acknowledgments

Reproductive Medicine Dr. Esther Baart Prof. dr. Joop Laven Kaylee Holleman, MSc Rocio Maldonado Guzman, MSc Cindy Eleveld, Ing Mabel Vos, MSc Franka de Jong, MSc

Hubrecht Institute Dr. Geert Kops Sjoerd Klaasen, MSc

Contact: e.chavli@erasmusmc.nl

Clinical Genetics Dr. Diane van Opstal

> Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam